Case 1:00-cW00023-SIDANKA Document	<u>22-6</u> COFURE OF 8/10/10/10/15 COUP (10) of 20
State of Ohio VS.	Case Number B9 40048/
HE FOURD . MOORE	Judge HOURS / / NOW HARMS
MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PAYMENT OF A	SSIGNED COUNSEL FEES AND EXPENSES
The undersigned having been previously appointed for payment of fees and expenses as indicated on the attache 120.33 of the Revised Code.	
As the assigned attorney of record for the defendant, I ce with providing representation in this case other than that supervision, have performed all legal services itemized i and expenses are not duplicated on any other application	described in this application. I, or an attorney under my n this application. The amounts requested for legal fees
As attorney of record, I was appointed on	, 19 <u>94</u> . The case terminated on application on, 19 <u>94</u> , within
Respectfully submitted,	
moth earth	Address 3368 VKTONY PRAY
Signature	SUIZE 200
Name Tirro)// J. Dr. MONT	Cincinnati, Ohio 45404
type of print	Soc Sec/Fed ID No. 31-101 1102
ENT	'RY
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relative FORE ORDERED that counsel fees and expenses be, and It is further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certifications.	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-d are hereby approved in the amount of \$
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relative FORE ORDERED that counsel fees and expenses be, and It is further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certifications.	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-d are hereby approved in the amount of \$
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relative FORE ORDERED that counsel fees and expenses be, and it is further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certified.	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-d are hereby approved in the amount of \$ fied by the Court to the County Auditor for payment.
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relative FORE ORDERED that counsel fees and expenses be, and it is further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certified.	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-d are hereby approved in the amount of \$ fied by the Court to the County Auditor for payment. dge (print or type)
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relationship for the County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relationship for the County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relationship for the County	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-dare hereby approved in the amount of \$
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relative FORE ORDERED that counsel fees and expenses be, and it is further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certifies further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certifies further ordered that said amount be and her	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-dare hereby approved in the amount of \$ fied by the Court to the County Auditor for payment. dge (print or type) gnature CATION to the accuracy of the figures contained herein. A subsected of the Auditor of State which reveals unallowable or the reimbursement or repayment of audit exceptions to the
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relative FORE ORDERED that counsel fees and expenses be, and it is further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certification and hereby certification at the said amount be and hereby	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-dare hereby approved in the amount of \$
The Court finds that counsel performed the legal services fees and expenses set forth on this statement are reasonal of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio, relative FORE ORDERED that counsel fees and expenses be, and it is further ordered that said amount be, and hereby certification and hereby certification attests quent audit by the Ohio Public Defender Commission/a excessive costs may result in future adjustments agains Ohio Public Defender Commission. County Number: 31	set forth on the attached itemized statement, and that the ble, and are in accordance with the resolution of the Board ating to the payment of assigned counsel. IT IS THERE-dare hereby approved in the amount of \$ fied by the Court to the County Auditor for payment. dge (print or type) gnature CATION to the accuracy of the figures contained herein. A subsected of the Auditor of State which reveals unallowable or the reimbursement or repayment of audit exceptions to the

Case 1:00-cv-00023-SJD-MR	М Дос ирь (ICATION	Filed 08/08/2005	Page 2 of 20						
OFFENSE DESCRIPTION, CLASSIFICATION AND DISPOSITION FOR EACH CHARGED OFFENSE										
The charges were disposed of in the following manner:										
1. Jury trial 3. Dismissed										
Found guilty										
☐ Found not guilty 4. ☐ Plea										
☐ Hung jury			☐ To indictmen	l						
☐ Guilty of a lesse	er included offens	se .	☐ To a lesser o	ffen se						
2. 🗆 Bench trial										
☐ Found guilty										
☐ Found not guilf	ty									
☐ Misdemeanor ☐ Felony ☐ Agg	gravated Felony	☐ Gun Spe	ecification Death Pe	nalty Other						
OFFENSE	O.R.C. OR CITY CODE SECTION	DEGREE OF OFFENSE	DISP	OSITION						
1. AGGANAZO	nc a90301	A66.FN)	Franco 60/27	y, DEMH						
AGGANAZO MUNDEN			France GUILI							
3.			fc	***************************************						
4.	:									
5.										
6.										
SENTENCE: INSTITUTION AUCHS										
OTHER	,									
	,									
	Have you or another attorney previously submitted a bill Yes for partial payment for this case or case number? No Amount paid \$									
Was the client in this case ordered to for all or a portion of the cost of your s		□ Yes TA No	Amount ordered to	pay \$						
How many motions were filed in this o	ase? 70	NURCE	US TO MONDIOL	.2						

				05-00			<u> </u>	, 						
Case 1:00 Date	-cv-0	0023	<u>-Sym</u>	RARRA	PET F	<u>kkrii</u>	nent 122-6	Filed 08/08/2000 HPURSE 3 of 20						
of Service		ERVIEWING	TIGATION RESEAR RESEAR	CH C	IATION S	65 .cv ^	FCOURT FLAG TOTAL	JALS RING JONAL SIAL						
Service	WY	EK MYES	S. RESEN	WEGO	NEE TRA	in only	TOTAL	PRE	ARINGS TRI	AL PLEA	O'SPO	ARIMPOS	EARING	OVEA OTHE TOTAL
	1	 	3	-	5	-		7	8	-	10	11	12	
								!						
		ļ						<u> </u>						
							,	Ì			-			
							,	1		1				·
								1						
								1	<u> </u>					
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>										 	
								1		 		 	 	
		 				 		†	 	1		<u> </u>	1	
			 			ļ		+					 	
					ļ	 		╂	 	1		 	 	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u> 			<u> </u>		1	-	 				
		1	1					1	 	├		-	-	
		· ·		-				╂—				-	-	
				 	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	┨	 	 			1	
								-			 	 	<u> </u>	
TOTAL HOURS	1	2	3	4	5	6	TOTAL HRS: OU	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL HRS: IN
'		.1	• -		<u> </u>		15 MINS. = .25 HR.					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1
							BILL SUMMAR	Υ						
LEGAL FEES	ln-c	COURT D	nure	64,	75.	In-co	ourt rate _30	Ø	= To	lal in-co	n irt	194	d.50)
				•			of-court rate 3					_		
	Out	. 01-00	art Houl	~ jj. ~	^	- Cut-1	o, court rate (2)			tal legal				
EXPENSES:	Тур	e of Ex	pense	×						iai iegai		Amoun	t	·····
									·			\$ 10.	<u>00</u>	
	(cop	y/NG	, (HAM	<u>25.</u>	OF DEI	ando	117 3	HAAS				
		CSEL		97 <i>719</i> C	HED		OF DEI					162	. 90	<u> </u>
										l Expen	•	170	.90	!
							Tota	l legal f	ees an	d expen	ses	7,5	117.9	<u> </u>

Attach Continuation Request for Payment form if necessary. PLEASE STAPLE TO THIS SHEET.

LEE EDWARD MOORE - COPY CHARGES

- 1. Copy of transcript, Jason T. Holmes trial 139 pages X .15 = \$20.85
- 2. 3 copies of statement of Jason T. Holmes 32 pages X .15 = \$14.40
- 3. 3 copies of statement of Lee E. Moore 24 pages X .15 = \$10.80
- 4. 3 copies of statement of Larry Kinley 32 pages X .15 = \$14.40
- 5. Copy of transcript of Jason T. Holmes trial 144 pages X .15 = \$21.60
- 6. Copy of jury instructions 39 pages X .15 = \$15.85
- 7. Copies of juror questionaires 10 pages X 50 packets = 500 pages X .15 = \$75.00

Ē	- Case 1	:00-c	v-000)23 - S	JD-M	IRM	Do	cument 122	-6	Filed	08/0	08/20	05	Page	5 of 20
		T	·					REQUEST	FOF	PAY					
1	Date	 					HOURS		IN COURT HOURS						
. A NOTE	of Service	ice Interned Research				NG OTIATOR CES NE CONFERENCE OUT OF ER TOTAL				TRIAL GS	ial Pl	EA HEARIN	POSITION HEARING	AL ST. TRIAL HEARING HEARING	OURT OTHER OTHER
	1/26/94	5		†	1		-	6	7	 •	 	10	 "	12	
L	1/27/94	4						. 4		 	 	 	 	1	
	28/94	.5		1				.5	 			 	 		
1	131/94			2		-		2	1		 	 	 	 	
	12194			.25				.25	一				-	-	
	43194			.25				,25			 	1	 		
[3	44194			55				5.5		 			 		
Ŀ	17/94	,5		.5				1						 	·
L	19/94			, 25				,25		 				1	
2	114/94	.5		4.5				5							
1	116/94			7				1				 			
1	7/17/94					ĺ	2	а							
L	7118194			3.75				3.15	1				<u> </u>		1
1	121194		10					10							
L	2124/94			,25				,25							
1 -	3/7/94	2						ð					·		
L	3/4/94	3						3							
L	3/11/94	.5						.5							
	3/16/94	3		3,5				6.5							
L	3)17/54	1.5						1.5							
	129124			1.25				1.25							
1	131194			،25				۰25							
1 -	415/54			5.5				5,5					·		
	श्रेम/१५			4.5				6.5							
1	5/5/94								·					5.25	5.25
	5/4/94													5.	5
	5/15/94								8						. 8
	विडी १५			. 25				172							
_	TAL HOURS	. 1						15.00							20.25
			2	3	4	5	6	TOTAL HRS: OUT	7	8	9 STÖ	10	11	12	TOTAL HRS: IN

Attach Continuation Request for Payment form if necessary.

•			(CONT	INUA	TION	REQUEST	EOE	DAV	/8.4.E.M	·	-	·	
			OUT	05.0		HOURS								
Date of Service	, INT							PRE	PRETERINGS PRETERINGS PRETERING PRET					OURT OTHER TOTAL
11/18/94			10			†	. 10		 				12	
1419194			8				8		 				 	
4120194			6.5	1			5.عا	_		 			ļ	
गिशादम					-				8.5				-	8.5
12/1/94			1		İ		i		1 81.5					1.3
12/14/94	а						a						 	
		·					<u> </u>		 . 			 		,
												 		
											_			
							·							
												 	-	
		,												
							·							<u> </u>
							·							
														·
													7	
												•		
OTAL HOURS			·				176.75	<u> </u>						11100
	1	2	3	4	5	6	TOTAL HRS: OUT	7		•	10	11	12	TOTAL HES: IN

Attach Continuation Request for Payment form if necessary.

DEARDORFF & HAAS 2368 Victory Parkway, Suite 300 Cincinnati, Ohio 45206

> Lee Edward Moore 1101 Clearbrook Drive Cincinnati OH 45229

Page: 1 12/21/94 Account No: 748-00N Statement No: 1

Criminal

Interim Statement

	Hours	
01/26/94 TJD Meeting w/client at county jail. TJD Meeting w/Prosecutor, picking up documents	5.00 1.00	150.00 30.00
01/27/94 TJD Meeting w/family	4.00	120.00
01/28/94 TJD Telephone call w/family	.50	15.00
01/31/94 TJD Attending arraignment TJD Review indictment, statute as to spec's	1.00	30.00 60.00
02/02/94 TJD Review of correspondence from Stidham	.25	7.50
02/03/94 TJD Review of correspondence from Dan James	.25	7.50
02/04/94 TJD Letter from Dan James, letter to Dan James TJD Review of motions filed in Bies Case applicable	.50	15.00
to Moore case	5.00	150.00
02/07/94 TJD Telephone call w/Bev Parker TJD Preparation of correspondence to Dan James	.50 .50	15.00 15.00
02/09/94 TJD Review of correspondence from Dan James	.25	7.50

Page: 2 12/21/94

Account No: 748-00N

Statement No: 1

	Hours	
02/14/94 TJD Telephone call w/Bev Parker TJD Drafting Motions	.50 4.50	15.00 135.00
02/16/94 TJD Drafting and research venue motion, Bill of Particulars Motion, Discovery Request, Notice Request under OR CR p.12(D)(2), Disclosure of Favorable Evidence	7.00	210.00
02/17/94 TJD Filed Motion for Bill of Particulars TJD Filed Request for Discovery	1.00	30.00 30.00
02/18/94 TJD Preparation of discovery pleadings, attending pre-trial TJD Court appearance TJD Review of correspondence from Dan James	3.50 1.00 .25	105.00 30.00 7.50
02/21/94 • TJD Review of discovery TJD Reviewing discovery	5.00 5.00	150.00 150.00
02/24/94 TJD Letter to Lee Moore, Sr.	.25	7.50
03/07/94 TJD Conference w/Beverly Parker	2.00	60.00
03/09/94 TJD Meeting w/client at jail	3.00	90.00
03/11/94 TJD Phone conference w/Bev Parker	.50	15.00
03/16/94 TJD Interview in jail, review of statute TJD Meeting and research	3.00 3.50	90.00 105.00
03/17/94 TJD Conference w/client's mother and father	1.50	45.00

Page: 3 12/21/94 Account No: 748-00N Statement No: 1

03/29/94		Hours	
• •	Letter, phone conference with Chuck Stidham re: mitigation	1.25	37.50
03/31/94 TJD	Letter to Dan James	.25	7.50
04/15/94 TJD	Research on Motion to Suppress	5.50	165.00
05/04/94 TJD	Preparation for Motion to Suppress	6.50	195.00
05/05/94 TJD	Attended Jason Holmes' trial	5.25	157.50
05/06/94 TJD	Attended Jason Holmes' trial	5.00	150.00
05/15/94 TJD	Motion to Suppress Hearing	8.00	240.00
05/25/94 TJD	Review of correspondence from Dan James	.25	7.50
05/26/94 TJD	Phone conference w/Dan James, prepared motions for Dan James, Motion to Submit Juror Questionnaire, prepared juror questionnaire	5.50	165.00
06/01/94 TJD	Letter to Dan James	.25	7.50
06/03/94 TJD	Review of correspondence from Dan James	.25	7.50
06/06/94 TJD	Review of correspondence, letter from Dan James as to trial date	.50	15.00

Page: 4 12/21/94

Account No: 748-00N

Statement No: 1

06/35/04		Hours	
06/15/94 TJD	Letter to Dan James	.50	15.00
07/18/94 TJD	Preparation for trial, review of mitigation cases	7.00	210.00
07/19/94 TJD	Preparation for trial, review of mitigation cases	6.50	195.00
08/03/94 CH	Telephone call w/Dan James	.25	7.50
08/04/94 CH	Prepared and filed continuance Motion	1.50	45.00
08/05/94 TJD	Review of correspondence from client	.25	7.50
08/10/94 TJD CH	Appearance in court Telèphone call w/impound lot	1.50 .50	45.00 15.00
08/12/94 TJD	Letter to Dan James	.25	7.50
08/23/94 TJD	Review of correspondence from Dan James	.25	7.50
08/24/94 TJD	Review of file, review of correspondence from Dan James	1.25	37.50
08/29/94 TJD	Review of Motion from Piepmeier as to deposition	.75	22.50
09/08/94 TJD	Review depo. of coroner, phone conference w/Dan James	3.25	97.50
10/25/94 TJD	Review of file for meeting with Dr. Chiappone, meeting with Dr. Chiappone and Dan James	3.75	112.50

Page: 5 12/21/94 Account No: 748-00N Statement No: 1

		Hours	
11/01/94 TJD	Pretrial, phone conference w/ Dr. Cooper	1.50	45.00
11/02/94 TJD	Review of Motion and Entry appointing Dr. Chiappone	.25	7.50
TJD	Review of correspondence from Dan James	.25	7.50
11/04/94 TJD	Preparation for trial, review of file at courthouse	6.50	195.00
11/07/94 TJD	Phone conference w/ jury commissioner, phone conference w/ Dan James, review of file, trial preparation	3.25	97.50
11/08/94 TJD	Preparation for trial, review of Prosecutor witness testimony in Homles trial	8.25	247.50
СН	Research jury issues Research jury issues Trial - jury selection	2.50 1.75 8.00	75.00 52.50 240.00
11/13/94 TJD	Trial preparation, review of transcripts of Holmes and Kinley trial	7.50	225.00
11/14/94 TJD	Trial	8.50	255.00
11/15/94 TJD	Trial	8.50	255.00
11/16/94 TJD	Phone conference w/Dan James as to Motions to Jury, review of same	1.25	37.50
11/17/94 TJD	Trial - awaiting jury verdict	8.00	240.00

Page: 6 12/21/94 Account No: 748-00N

Statement No: 1

TJD	Mitigation preparation	Hours 10.25	307.50
11/18/94 TJD	Mitigation preparation	10.00	300.00
11/19/94 TJD	Mitigation preparation, view of scene	8.00	240.00
11/20/94 TJD	Mitigation preparation	6.50	195.00
11/21/94 TJD	Trial	8.50	255.00
12/07/94 TJD	Review of statute as to Motion for New Trial, phone conference w/Mr. Moore, testimony Dr. Chiappone	of 1.00	30.00
12/14/94 TJD	Meeting w/family, sentencing hearing	2.00	60.00
	For Current Services Rendered	241.50	7245.00
02/18/94	Fax - outgoing		10.00
	Total Expenses		10.00
-	Total Current Work		7255.00
	Balance Due	Ş	37,255.00

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CRIMINAL DIVISION HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO COMMON SE H/1:55 CRuzins... お しゅー 1994

STATE OF OHIO,

Filed 08/08/2005

JAMES DISSELL Case No. H-940485 OF COURTS

Judge Morrissey

Plaintiff,

REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE

v.

LEE EDWARD MOORE,

Defendant.

Now comes the Defendant, by and through counsel, and respectfully requests that this Court grant him a continuance for the trial presently scheduled to begin on August 29, 1994. The mitigation expert appointed by the Court for the Defendant has not had adequate time to interview the Defendant and prepare for the mitigation phase of the trial. This expert's testimony could determine whether the Defendant is sentenced to death.

Respectfully submitted,

Lead course for Defendant

Supreme Court No. 0008067 30 East Central Parkway

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 721-1995

TIMOTHY J. DEARDORE

Co-counsel for Defendant Supreme Court No. 0001848 169 E. McMillan Street

Cincinnati, Ohio 45219

(513) 241-4030

DEARDORFF & HAAS Attorneys At Law 2368 Victory Parkway Suite 300 nnati, Ohio 45206 (513) 872-7900 Fax: (513) 281-6760

Case 1 00-cv-00023-SJD-MRM Document 122-6 Filed 08/08/2005 Page 14 of 20

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Bill of Particulars was served upon Joseph Deters, Prosecuting Attorney, 1000 Main Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202 on the same day the motion was filed.

TIMOTHY J. DEARDORFF

DEARDORFF & HAAS Attorneys At Law 2368 Victory Parkway Suite 300 Innati, Ohio 45206 (513) 872-7900 Fax: (513) 281-6760

HAMILTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CRIMINAL DIVISION ()

STATE OF OHIO		: .	CASE No. B- 7	400.781
Pl	aintiff	:	:	
vs.		:		T17713 17075
Lee Mo	ore	:	ENTRY OF CONT	INUANCE
De	efendant	:		
				<i>:</i>
This matter	is hereby co	ntinued:		
	-	(At the	request of the	State)
1 y	X	(At the	request of the	defendant)
		(By agrees) State as defendan	eement of counse nd counsel for t nt)	l for the he
		(On the	Court's Order)	
and with the Cour				
from the 18 d	lay of f	Ebruar	<u>v</u> , 19 <u>9</u> 4	
from the $\frac{18}{26}$ do to the $\frac{26}{3}$	lay of \mathcal{J}_0	U/y	, 19 <u>94</u> at <u></u>	090m.
for the purpose of		·		
(DSC)			(Sentenci	ng)
(Plea c	or Trial Sett	ing)		FEMA
X (Trial)	•		(Probatio	n Violation)
for the reason th	nat <u>trial</u>	prep	aration re	guired.
Defendant		, ,		<i>,</i> ,
continuance.	'			
machEfa	epmen	_		
Assistant Prosecu	ting Attorne	y De:	fendant (Tora JV.
Attorney for Defe	andant f	<u></u>		
Ment 1	10-211 1000120	.57	•	
	,			- 00312 9

COOKE OF COUNTON ENTING

Case 1:00-cv-00023-SJD-MRM Doc**มทุกการาชาวิจารา** CASE NO. B9400481 STATE OF OHIO Plaintiff ENTRY OF CONTINUANCE Lee Moore Defendant This matter is hereby continued: (At the request of the State) (At the request of the Defendant) (At the request of counsel for the State and counsel for the defendant; (On the Court's Order). and with the court's consent to the Sday of Feb /)- ω M.M., for the purpose of: DSC Competency Hearing Motion to Suppress Plea Trial Other pretrial Detendant waives time - needs oca (Check appropriate blanks above)

Judge

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CRIMINAL DIVISION HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,

Case No. B-940481 Judge Morrissey

Plaintiff,

MOTION FOR CHANGE OF

VENUE

LEE EDWARD MOORE,

v.

Defendant.

Now comes the Defendant, by and through counsel, and respectfully moves this Court to order a change of Tenue in the above captioned case, as allowed by Criminal Rule 19, for the reason that a fair trial cannot be obtained in Hamilton County, nor in any of the counties in the Cincinnati metropolitan area. The Defendant requests that the trial of the Defendant to be transferred to a jurisdiction outside of the southwestern Chip area.

The Defendant respectfully requests an oral hearing for the determination of this issue.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL J. JAMES Lead counsel for Defendant Supreme Court No. 0008067 30 East Central Parkway Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (513) 721-1995

TIMOTHY J. DEARDORFF Co-counsel for Defendant Supreme Court No. 0001848 169 E. McMillan Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45219 (513) 241-4030

Case 1:00-cv-00023-SJD-MRM Document 122-6 Filed 08/08/2805 p

COMMON PLEAS COURT HAMILTON COUNTY

CREMENTAL DIVISION

THE STATE OF OHIO, HAMILTON COUNTAUG 29 1994

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JAMES CISSELL CLERK OF COURTS

CRIMINAL DIVISION

STATE OF OHIO

NO. B9400481

Plaintiff

(Judge Morrissey)

vs.

MOTION TO TAKE DEPOSITION OF

DR. ELLIOT GROSS UNDER

LEE MOORE

CRIMINAL RULE 15

Defendant

The State of Ohio, by its Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, Mark E. Piepmeier, states that Dr. Elliot Gross is a necessary and material witness and will be unable to attend the trial or hearing set to commence on November 7, 1994 at 9:00 A.M. and that the interests of justice require that said witness' testimony be taken by deposition.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned requests an Order of the Court that the deposition of Dr. Elliot Gross be taken at Hamilton County Courthouse on September 8, 1994 at 1:00 P.M. and that said witness be required to produce any and all necessary and relevant documents, papers or tangible objects, and that the costs of the proceedings be taxed as costs.

Mark E. Piepmeier, Ø006894P

Chief Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

Case 1:00-cv-00023-SJD-MRM Document 122-6 Filed 08/08/2005 Page 19 of 20

MEMORANDUM:

Rule 15, Ohio Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 20(E), Rules of Hamilton County Common Pleas

Mark E. Piepmeier 0006894P
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
914 Main Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
513/632-8534

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this document was served upon each party or attorney of record in the proceedings for each party by ordinary U.S. mail on the 26 day of 80905, 1994.

Mark E. Piepmeier, 6006894P Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CRIMINAL DIVISION HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO

Case No. B9400481 Judge Ruehlman

Plaintiff

:

vs.

:

LEE MOORE

10 YUH

E. F. Bir.

7

Defendant :

MOTION TO REASSIGN
TRIAL JUDGE PURSUANT
TO LOCAL RULE (7) OF
THE HAMILTON COUNTY

COMMON PLEAS LOCAL RULES

Comes now the Defendant, Lee Moore, by and through his attorneys, and requests this Court pursuant to Local Rule 7 to refer this case to the office of the Common Pleas Court Administrator for reassignment "by lot" as required by the Local Rules of the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel J. James #0008067 Attorney for Defendant 30 E. Central Pkwy.

13th Flr.

Cincinnati, OH 45202

513-721-1995

and

Timothy J. Deardorff #000630

Attorney for Defendant 2368 Victory Parkway

Suite 300

Cincinnati, Ohio 45206

513-872-7900